

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/601,376 06/23/2003		06/23/2003	Leonard D. Rarick	5681-64600	7986		
35690	7590	04/24/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		OD, KIVLIN, KO	MAI, TAN V				
700 LAVAC AUSTIN, T			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
11001111, 111 70701				2193			
			DATE MAILED: 04/24/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			76 .	RARICK, LEONARD D.					
			•	Art Unit					
		Tan V. Ma	ni	2193					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	tion appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress				
THE - External form - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) date of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no evation. ays, a reply within the statry period will apply and way statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 October 2003.								
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	oxtimes This action is r	on-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.							
10)	) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	•			• •				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some col None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🛛 Infor	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC r No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/10/03</u> .		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)				

Art Unit: 2193

1. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per independent claim 1, the term "combiner units" seems to be misdescriptive. Does "combiner" means "two-bit" as shown in Fig. 2? It is noted that the term "combiner" is the same as adder/ subtracter in the art. The claimed "combiner units" merely provide generate and propagate signals. Clarification is requested.

## 2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 12-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The claims recite a method for performing a mathematical function.

To satisfy section 101 requirements, the claim must be for a practical application of the Sec. 101 judicial exception, which can be identified in various ways:

- . The claimed invention "transforms" an article or physical object to a different state or thing.
- . The claimed invention otherwise produces a useful, concrete and tangible result, ...

See "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" OG Date: 22 November 2005.

Since there is no physical transform to establish a practical application, a **useful**, **concrete** and **tangible result** <u>appears</u> to be lacking. Therefore, claims 12-22 are clearly directed to a non-statutory process.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,376 Page 3

Art Unit: 2193

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-5, 10-16 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being

clearly anticipated by Applicant's Fig. 1 (Prior Art).

As per apparatus claims, Applicant's Fig. 1 teach the claimed combination.

Because the claims broadly recite the "combiner units" without any specific / detail the

structure, the plurality of "combined" two-bit units, e.g., A0A1-B0B1, ..., is considered

the claimed "combiner units".

Due to the similarity of method claims to apparatus claims, they are rejected

under a similar rationale.

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 10-16 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Persoon et al and Kumar et al.

Persoon et al disclose, e.g., see Fig. 1, the invention substantially as claimed, including: a plurality of "combiner units" (clas ij), carry creation unit (Si) and plurality od summation units (ADDERS 11-14). It is noted that Persoon et al do not specifically the plurality of "combiner units" for providing the claimed "generate and propagate bit pair"; however, the feature is old and well known the art, e.g., Kumar et al disclose an adder circuit comprising the feature, e.g., see Fig. 1 (BLOCKs i and Levels 1 & 2 are considered the claimed "combiner units" & carry creation unit, respectively). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Kumar et al in Persoon et al, thereby making the claimed invention, because the proposed device is an adder having reduced chip size as claimed.

- 5. Claims 6-9 and 17-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cited references are art of interest.
- 7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the recorded references do NOT teach or suggest the detail features of "combiner units" and "summation units" as recited in dependent claims 6-9 and 17-20.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably Application/Control Number: 10/601,376 Page 5

Art Unit: 2193

accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan V. Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-3726. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Wed and Fri. from 9:30am to 2:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki, can be reached on (571) 272-3719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is:

Official

(571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Tan V. Mai Primary Examiner